



Handwritten initials: DPC, JFW

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant: David Ben...

Title: METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR SEARCHING FOR A BASE STATION USING AN ADAPTABLE SEARCH WINDOW

Docket No.: 884.647US1

Serial No.: 10/050,237

Filed: January 15, 2002

Due Date: May 8, 2006

Examiner: Angelica Perez

Group Art Unit: 2618

MS Amendment

Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

We are transmitting herewith the following attached items (as indicated with an "X"):

- ☒ Return postcard.
- ☒ Petition for Withdrawal of Abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181(a) (2 pgs.).
- ☒ Response to Examiner Interview Summary (2 pgs.).
- ☒ A Copy of Examiner Interview Summary as mailed on April 6, 2006. (2 pgs.).

Please consider this a **PETITION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME** for sufficient number of months to enter these papers and please charge any additional fees or credit overpayment to Deposit Account No. 19-0743.

SCHWEGMAN, LUNDBERG, WOESSNER & KLUTH, P.A.

Customer Number 21186

By: *[Signature]*

Atty: Robert E. Mates

Reg. No. 35,271

CERTIFICATE UNDER 37 CFR 1.8: The undersigned hereby certifies that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail, in an envelope addressed to: MS Amendment, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, on this 8th day of May, 2006.

Name

Amy Morlar


Signature

[Signature]

SCHWEGMAN, LUNDBERG, WOESSNER & KLUTH, P.A.

(GENERAL)

S/N 10/050,237



PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant:	David Ben-El	Examiner:	Angelica Perez
Serial No.:	10/050,237	Group Art Unit:	2618
Filed:	January 15, 2002	Docket:	884.647US1
Title:	METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR SEARCHING FOR A BASE STATION USING AN ADAPTABLE SEARCH WINDOW		
Assignee:	Intel Corporation	Customer Number:	21186

EXAMINER INTERVIEW SUMMARY

MS Petition
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

The applicant thanks Examiner Perez for the telephone interview granted on Wednesday, March 22, 2006 between herself and the applicant's representative Mr. Mates (Reg. No. 35,271).

The applicant agrees with the statements of the substance of the interview made in Examiner Perez' Interview Summary dated 6 April 2006. The applicant notes that a notice of abandonment has not been entered into the record. Examiner Perez agreed that the condition of the case is a result of premature after-final action. The applicant agreed to file a petition to revive the application, and the petition is filed herewith. Examiner Perez agreed to issue a new office action after the applicant files the petition to revive. Examiner Perez left a voicemail message with the undersigned attorney on March 24, 2006, indicating that the applicant need not file a full response to the last office action to have the application revived and a new office action issued.

EXAMINER INTERVIEW SUMMARY

Serial Number: 10/050,237

Filing Date: January 15, 2002

Title: METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR SEARCHING FOR A BASE STATION USING AN ADAPTABLE SEARCH WINDOW

Page 2

Dkt: 884.647US1

Examiner Perez is invited to telephone Applicant's attorney at (612) 373-6973 to facilitate the prosecution of this application. If necessary, please charge any additional fees or credit overpayment to Deposit Account No. 19-0743.

Respectfully submitted,

DAVID BEN-ELI

By their Representatives,
SCHWEGMAN, LUNDBERG, WOESSNER & KLUTH,
P.A.

Attorneys for Intel Corporation
P.O. Box 2938
Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402
(612) 373-6973

Date 8 MAY 2006

By 

Robert E Mates
Reg. No. 35,271

CERTIFICATE UNDER 37 CFR 1.8: The undersigned hereby certifies that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail, in an envelope addressed to: MS Petition, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on this 8th day of May, 2006.

Name Amy Moriarty

Signature 



CCPY

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/050,237	BEN-ELI, DAVID	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Perez M. Angelica	2618	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Perez M. Angelica.

(3) _____.

(2) Robert E. Mates.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 22 March 2006.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: _____.

Identification of prior art discussed: _____.


Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

 3/27/06
Examiner's signature, if required

COPY

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-413)

Application No. 10/050,237

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Back in November, after discussing the Office Action mailed 08/23/2005, the Examiner agreed to issue a new Office action that would replace the Final Office Action mailed on 08/23/2005 (See Applicant remarks in Examiner Interview Summary dated 11/23/2005 of this application). Due to an extended leave taken by the Examiner, the six month period provided for prosecution expired, therefore, the application was prematurely abandoned. Through the interview, the Applicant's representative agreed to file for a petition that would revive the prematurely abandoned case. After the case is reopened, the prosecution will proceed as agreed..

PH: (571) 272-7885


NAY MAUNG
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER